

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

|                           |   |                     |
|---------------------------|---|---------------------|
| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, | ) |                     |
|                           | ) | Case No. 4:07CR3105 |
| Plaintiff,                | ) |                     |
|                           | ) |                     |
| vs.                       | ) | <b>TENTATIVE</b>    |
|                           | ) | <b>FINDINGS</b>     |
| JENNIFER N. OLSEN,        | ) |                     |
|                           | ) |                     |
| Defendant.                | ) |                     |

I am in receipt of the presentence investigation report in this case.

IT IS ORDERED that:

(1) The undersigned will consult and follow the Guidelines to the extent permitted and required by *United States v. Booker*, 543 U.S. 220(2005) and subsequent cases. *See, e.g., Gall v. U.S.*, --- S.Ct. ----, 2007 WL 4292116 (2007). In this regard, the undersigned gives notice that, unless otherwise ordered, he will (a) give the advisory Guidelines such weight as they deserve within the context of each individual case and will filter the Guidelines' general advice through §3553(a)'s list of factors<sup>1</sup>; (b) resolve all factual disputes relevant to sentencing by the greater weight of the evidence and without the aid of a jury; (c) impose upon the government the burden of proof on all Guideline-enhancements; (d) impose upon the defendant the burden of proof on all Guideline-mitigators; (e) depart from the advisory Guidelines, if appropriate, using pre-Booker departure theory; and (f) in cases where a departure using pre-Booker departure theory is not warranted, deviate or vary from the Guidelines when there is a principled reason which justifies a sentence different than that called for by application of the advisory Guidelines.<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>However, I will no longer give the Guidelines "substantial weight."

<sup>2</sup>See note 1.

(2) The defendant's objections to the presentence report and related motion for departure or deviation submitted by the defendant (filings 36 and 38) will be resolved at sentencing. As I understand it, the primary basis for the defendant's objection and motion relate to whether I should impose a reduced sentence because of the ill-health of the defendant's child. To the extent the government has objected to the presentence report (filing 35) because the probation officer suggests a variance may be warranted because the defendant has served time for which she will not receive credit, that objection will be taken up at sentencing.

(3) Except to the extent (if at all) that I have sustained an objection or granted a motion or reserved an issue for later resolution in the preceding paragraph, the parties are herewith notified that my tentative findings are that the presentence report is correct in all respects.

(4) If **any** party wishes to challenge these tentative findings, said party shall, as soon as possible, but in any event at least five (5) business days before sentencing, file in the court file and serve upon opposing counsel and the court a motion challenging these tentative findings, supported by (a) such evidentiary materials as are required (giving due regard to the requirements of the local rules of practice respecting the submission of evidentiary materials), (b) a brief as to the law and (c) if an evidentiary hearing is requested, a statement describing why an evidentiary hearing is necessary and how long such a hearing would take.

(5) Absent submission of the information required by the preceding paragraph of this order, my tentative findings may become final and the presentence report may be adopted and relied upon by me without more.

(6) Unless otherwise ordered, any motion challenging these tentative findings shall be resolved at sentencing.

May 2, 2008.

BY THE COURT:

*s/ Richard G. Kopf*  
United States District Judge